Generic properties in the space of actions of non finitely generated groups on the Cantor space

J. Melleray (Lyon) Joint work with M. Doucha and T. Tsankov

Descriptive Set Theory and Dynamics, Warsaw, August 2023

An action of Γ on X is:

An action of Γ on X is:

• topologically transitive if it admits a dense orbit, equivalently:

 $\forall U, V \text{ nonempty open } \exists \gamma \in \mathsf{\Gamma} \quad \gamma U \cap V \neq \emptyset$

An action of Γ on X is:

• topologically transitive if it admits a dense orbit, equivalently:

 $\forall U, V \text{ nonempty open } \exists \gamma \in \mathsf{\Gamma} \quad \gamma U \cap V \neq \emptyset$

• *minimal* if all orbits are dense, equivalently there is no nontrival invariant closed subset.

The space $A(\Gamma)$ of actions of Γ on X carries a natural Polish topology. Basic open sets are of the form

```
\{\alpha \colon \forall \gamma \in F \ \alpha(\gamma) \in U_{\gamma}\}
```

where $F \subset \Gamma$ is finite and each U_{γ} is open in Homeo(X).

The space $A(\Gamma)$ of actions of Γ on X carries a natural Polish topology. Basic open sets are of the form

$$\{ \alpha \colon \forall \gamma \in \mathsf{F} \ \alpha(\gamma) \in \mathsf{U}_{\gamma} \}$$

where $F \subset \Gamma$ is finite and each U_{γ} is open in Homeo(X).

If α is a *factor* of β (i.e. there is a continuous Γ -equivariant map from (X, β) to (X, α)) then α belongs to the closure of the conjugacy class of β .

Question

• What can one say about generic properties of elements of $A(\Gamma)$?

The space $A(\Gamma)$ of actions of Γ on X carries a natural Polish topology. Basic open sets are of the form

$$\{ \alpha \colon \forall \gamma \in \mathsf{F} \ \alpha(\gamma) \in \mathsf{U}_{\gamma} \}$$

where $F \subset \Gamma$ is finite and each U_{γ} is open in Homeo(X).

If α is a *factor* of β (i.e. there is a continuous Γ -equivariant map from (X, β) to (X, α)) then α belongs to the closure of the conjugacy class of β .

Question

- What can one say about generic properties of elements of $A(\Gamma)$?
- How do those generic properties depend on Γ ?

Homeo(X) acts (topologivally transitively) on $A(\Gamma)$ by conjugacy, Hence any Baire-measurable (e.g. Borel) conjugacy invariant subset of $A(\Gamma)$ is either meagre or comeagre.

Homeo(X) acts (topologivally transitively) on $A(\Gamma)$ by conjugacy, Hence any Baire-measurable (e.g. Borel) conjugacy invariant subset of $A(\Gamma)$ is either meagre or comeagre.

This applies in particular to conjugacy classes; in $A(\mathbb{Z})$ there is a comeagre conjugacy class (Kechris–Rosendal), not in $A(\mathbb{Z}^2)$ (Hochman).

Homeo(X) acts (topologivally transitively) on $A(\Gamma)$ by conjugacy, Hence any Baire-measurable (e.g. Borel) conjugacy invariant subset of $A(\Gamma)$ is either meagre or comeagre.

This applies in particular to conjugacy classes; in $A(\mathbb{Z})$ there is a comeagre conjugacy class (Kechris–Rosendal), not in $A(\mathbb{Z}^2)$ (Hochman).

No Γ s.t. $A(\Gamma)$ has a comeagre conjugacy class and Γ is not finitely generated is known.

A subshift is a nonempty invariant closed subset of A^{Γ} , where A is some finite alphabet.

A subshift is a nonempty invariant closed subset of A^{Γ} , where A is some finite alphabet.

Given $\alpha \colon \Gamma \curvearrowright X$ and $\mathcal{A} = \{A_0, \ldots, A_{n-1}\}$ a clopen partition of X, define for $x \in X$

 $S_{\mathcal{A}}(x)(\gamma) = i \Leftrightarrow x \in \alpha(\gamma)A_i$

A subshift is a nonempty invariant closed subset of A^{Γ} , where A is some finite alphabet.

Given $\alpha \colon \Gamma \curvearrowright X$ and $\mathcal{A} = \{A_0, \ldots, A_{n-1}\}$ a clopen partition of X, define for $x \in X$

$$S_{\mathcal{A}}(x)(\gamma) = i \Leftrightarrow x \in \alpha(\gamma)A_i$$

Then $S_{\mathcal{A}}(X)$ is a subshift of n^{Γ} , and $S_{\mathcal{A}}$ is Γ -equivariant. That way one can see α as an inverse limit of subshifts.

• of finite type (SFT) if there exists a finite $F \subset \Gamma$ and some $P \subset A^F$ s.t.

 $\forall x \quad (x \in S) \Leftrightarrow (\forall \gamma \in \Gamma \ x_{|\gamma F} \notin P)$

• of finite type (SFT) if there exists a finite $F \subset \Gamma$ and some $P \subset A^F$ s.t.

 $\forall x \quad (x \in S) \Leftrightarrow (\forall \gamma \in \Gamma \ x_{|\gamma F} \not\in P)$

• *sofic* if it is a factor of an SFT.

• of finite type (SFT) if there exists a finite $F \subset \Gamma$ and some $P \subset A^F$ s.t.

 $\forall x \quad (x \in S) \Leftrightarrow (\forall \gamma \in \Gamma \ x_{|\gamma F} \notin P)$

• *sofic* if it is a factor of an SFT.

The space of subshifts on A carries the Vietoris topology; SFTs are dense so every isolated subshift is an SFT.

M. Doucha gave a criterion for the existence of a comeager conjugacy class in $A(\Gamma)$, which requires that for all *n* the "projectively isolated subshifts" (a class of sofic shifts which includes every factor of an isolated subshift) be dense in the space of subshifts of A^{Γ} .

M. Doucha gave a criterion for the existence of a comeager conjugacy class in $A(\Gamma)$, which requires that for all *n* the "projectively isolated subshifts" (a class of sofic shifts which includes every factor of an isolated subshift) be dense in the space of subshifts of A^{Γ} .

An element with a comeagre conjugacy class (when it exists) is an inverse limit of projectively isolated subshifts.

Assume Γ is not finitely generated, and $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{A}^{\Gamma}$ is a subshift. Then

Assume Γ is not finitely generated, and $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{A}^{\Gamma}$ is a subshift. Then

• *S* is isolated iff *S* is a minimal SFT.

Assume Γ is not finitely generated, and $S \subset A^{\Gamma}$ is a subshift. Then

- S is isolated iff S is a minimal SFT.
- *S* is projectively isolated iff *S* is minimal sofic.

Thus if $A(\Gamma)$ has a comeagre conjugacy class then the generic element must act minimally (for Γ not f.g.).

Assume Γ is not finitely generated, and $S \subset A^{\Gamma}$ is a subshift. Then

- S is isolated iff S is a minimal SFT.
- *S* is projectively isolated iff *S* is minimal sofic.

Thus if $A(\Gamma)$ has a comeagre conjugacy class then the generic element must act minimally (for Γ not f.g.).

Theorem

Assume that Γ is infinite, locally finite. Then Γ has no nontrivial minimal sofic subshifts.

Assume Γ is not finitely generated, and $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{A}^{\Gamma}$ is a subshift. Then

- S is isolated iff S is a minimal SFT.
- S is projectively isolated iff S is minimal sofic.

Thus if $A(\Gamma)$ has a comeagre conjugacy class then the generic element must act minimally (for Γ not f.g.).

Theorem

Assume that Γ is infinite, locally finite. Then Γ has no nontrivial minimal sofic subshifts. Hence in that case there is no comeagre conjugacy class in $A(\Gamma)$.

Assume Γ is not finitely generated, and $S \subset A^{\Gamma}$ is a subshift. Then

- S is isolated iff S is a minimal SFT.
- *S* is projectively isolated iff *S* is minimal sofic.

Thus if $A(\Gamma)$ has a comeagre conjugacy class then the generic element must act minimally (for Γ not f.g.).

Theorem

Assume that Γ is infinite, locally finite. Then Γ has no nontrivial minimal sofic subshifts. Hence in that case there is no comeagre conjugacy class in $A(\Gamma)$.

I do not know any example of a minimal sofic subshift on a non-f.g. group.

Question (Kechris) For which Γ is it the case that a generic element of $A(\Gamma)$ is minimal?

Question (Kechris)

For which $\dot{\Gamma}$ is it the case that a generic element of $A(\Gamma)$ is minimal?

This is obviously the case for F_{ω} (topologically transitive actions are not dense), and never happens for Γ finitely generated.

Question (Kechris)

For which $\dot{\Gamma}$ is it the case that a generic element of $A(\Gamma)$ is minimal?

This is obviously the case for F_{ω} (topologically transitive actions are not dense), and never happens for Γ finitely generated.

Proposition

Assume that Γ admits a central element of infinite order. Then $Min(\Gamma)$ is not dense in $A(\Gamma)$.

Question (Kechris)

For which $\dot{\Gamma}$ is it the case that a generic element of $A(\Gamma)$ is minimal?

This is obviously the case for F_{ω} (topologically transitive actions are not dense), and never happens for Γ finitely generated.

Proposition

Assume that Γ admits a central element of infinite order. Then $Min(\Gamma)$ is not dense in $A(\Gamma)$.

This question does admit a surprisingly neat answer for amenable groups.

Fix two countable groups $\Gamma \leq \Delta$, as well as an action of Γ on X. Δ naturally acts on X^{Δ} via $\delta_1 \cdot f(\delta_2) = f(\delta_1^{-1}\delta_2)$.

Fix two countable groups $\Gamma \leq \Delta$, as well as an action of Γ on X. Δ naturally acts on X^{Δ} via $\delta_1 \cdot f(\delta_2) = f(\delta_1^{-1}\delta_2)$.

Define $\pi \colon X^{\Delta} \to X$ by $\pi(f) = f(1)$.

Fix two countable groups $\Gamma \leq \Delta$, as well as an action of Γ on X. Δ naturally acts on X^{Δ} via $\delta_1 \cdot f(\delta_2) = f(\delta_1^{-1}\delta_2)$.

Define $\pi \colon X^{\Delta} \to X$ by $\pi(f) = f(1)$.

Looking for a Δ -invariant $Y \subseteq X^{\Delta}$ such that π is Γ -equivariant, one ends up with

$$Y = \left\{ f \in X^{\Delta} \colon \forall \delta \in \Delta \,\, \forall \gamma \in \mathsf{\Gamma} \quad \gamma \cdot f(\delta) = f(\delta \gamma^{-1}) \right\}$$

 $\Delta \frown Y$ is called the *co-induced action*. Morally $Y = X^{\Delta/\Gamma}$ with a "twisted" action of Δ .

Assume that $\Gamma \leq \Delta$ and $[\Delta : \Gamma]$ is infinite. For any $\alpha \in A(\Gamma)$ the co-induced action $\tilde{\alpha}$ is topologically k-transitive for all k.

Assume that $\Gamma \leq \Delta$ and $[\Delta : \Gamma]$ is infinite. For any $\alpha \in A(\Gamma)$ the co-induced action $\tilde{\alpha}$ is topologically k-transitive for all k.

Corollary

For any non-f.g.group Δ a generic element of $A(\Delta)$ is topologically k-transitive for all k.

Assume that $\Gamma \leq \Delta$ and $[\Delta : \Gamma]$ is infinite. For any $\alpha \in A(\Gamma)$ the co-induced action $\tilde{\alpha}$ is topologically k-transitive for all k.

Corollary

For any non-f.g.group Δ a generic element of $A(\Delta)$ is topologically k-transitive for all k.

Proof.

Pick U open nonempty in $A(\Delta)$. There are $\Gamma = \langle \gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_n \rangle$, $\alpha \colon \Gamma \curvearrowright X$ and \mathcal{A} a clopen partition of X s.t. any Δ -action β with $\beta(\gamma_i)_{|\mathcal{A}} = \alpha(\gamma_i)_{|\mathcal{A}}$ belongs to U.

Assume that $\Gamma \leq \Delta$ and $[\Delta: \Gamma]$ is infinite. For any $\alpha \in A(\Gamma)$ the co-induced action $\tilde{\alpha}$ is topologically k-transitive for all k.

Corollary

For any non-f.g.group Δ a generic element of $A(\Delta)$ is topologically k-transitive for all k.

Proof.

Pick U open nonempty in $A(\Delta)$. There are $\Gamma = \langle \gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_n \rangle$, $\alpha \colon \Gamma \curvearrowright X$ and \mathcal{A} a clopen partition of X s.t. any Δ -action β with $\beta(\gamma_i)_{|\mathcal{A}} = \alpha(\gamma_i)_{|\mathcal{A}}$ belongs to U.

(A conjugate of) the co-induced action of $\alpha_{|\Gamma}$ belongs to U, and is topologically k-transitive for all k by the previous proposition. Conclude by observing that this is a G_{δ} condition.

Definition $\alpha \in A(\Gamma)$ is *shrinking* if there exist $\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_n \in \Gamma$ and $U_1, \ldots, U_n \in \text{Clopen}(X)$ s.t. $\bigcup U_i = X, \bigcup \gamma_i U_i \subsetneq X.$

 $\alpha \in A(\Gamma)$ is *shrinking* if there exist $\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_n \in \Gamma$ and $U_1, \ldots, U_n \in \text{Clopen}(X)$ s.t. $\bigcup U_i = X, \bigcup \gamma_i U_i \subsetneq X.$

The set of shrinking actions is open and conjugacy invariant, hence dense as soon as it is nonempty.

 $\alpha \in A(\Gamma)$ is *shrinking* if there exist $\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_n \in \Gamma$ and $U_1, \ldots, U_n \in \text{Clopen}(X)$ s.t. $\bigcup U_i = X, \bigcup \gamma_i U_i \subsetneq X.$

The set of shrinking actions is open and conjugacy invariant, hence dense as soon as it is nonempty.

Proposition

Assume that Γ is infinite and not locally finite. Then there exists a shrinking $\alpha \in A(\Gamma)$.

 $\alpha \in A(\Gamma)$ is *shrinking* if there exist $\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_n \in \Gamma$ and $U_1, \ldots, U_n \in \text{Clopen}(X)$ s.t. $\bigcup U_i = X, \bigcup \gamma_i U_i \subsetneq X.$

The set of shrinking actions is open and conjugacy invariant, hence dense as soon as it is nonempty.

Proposition

Assume that Γ is infinite and not locally finite. Then there exists a shrinking $\alpha \in A(\Gamma)$.

Corollary

If Γ is infinite, amenable and not locally finite, a generic element of $A(\Gamma)$ is not minimal.

 $\alpha \in A(\Gamma)$ is *shrinking* if there exist $\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_n \in \Gamma$ and $U_1, \ldots, U_n \in \text{Clopen}(X)$ s.t. $\bigcup U_i = X, \bigcup \gamma_i U_i \subsetneq X.$

The set of shrinking actions is open and conjugacy invariant, hence dense as soon as it is nonempty.

Proposition

Assume that Γ is infinite and not locally finite. Then there exists a shrinking $\alpha \in A(\Gamma)$.

Corollary

If Γ is infinite, amenable and not locally finite, a generic element of $A(\Gamma)$ is not minimal. Hence conjugacy classes in $A(\Gamma)$ are meagre if Γ is amenable and not finitely generated.

A Borel probability measure μ on X is a *good measure* if μ is atomless, has full support and for all $A, B \in \text{Clopen}(X)$

 $(\mu(A) \le \mu(B)) \Leftrightarrow (\exists C \in \operatorname{Clopen}(B) \ \mu(A) = \mu(C))$

A Borel probability measure μ on X is a *good measure* if μ is atomless, has full support and for all $A, B \in \text{Clopen}(X)$

 $(\mu(A) \le \mu(B)) \Leftrightarrow (\exists C \in \operatorname{Clopen}(B) \ \mu(A) = \mu(C))$

The clopen value set $V(\mu)$ is $\{\mu(A) \colon A \in \operatorname{Clopen}(X)\}$.

A Borel probability measure μ on X is a *good measure* if μ is atomless, has full support and for all $A, B \in \text{Clopen}(X)$

 $(\mu(A) \le \mu(B)) \Leftrightarrow (\exists C \in \operatorname{Clopen}(B) \ \mu(A) = \mu(C))$

The clopen value set $V(\mu)$ is $\{\mu(A) \colon A \in \operatorname{Clopen}(X)\}$.

Theorem (Akin) If μ , ν are good measures on X such that $V(\mu) = V(\nu)$ then $\exists g \in \operatorname{Homeo}(X) g_*\mu = \nu$.

Assume that Δ is infinite and locally finite. Then a generic element of $A(\Delta, X)$ is minimal and uniquely ergodic.

Assume that Δ is infinite and locally finite. Then a generic element of $A(\Delta, X)$ is minimal and uniquely ergodic.

For a generic $\alpha,$ the unique $\alpha\text{-invariant}$ measure μ is good and

$$V(\mu) = \left\{ rac{n}{|\Gamma|} \colon n \in \{0, \dots, |\Gamma|\}, \ \Gamma \ ext{a finite subgroup of } \Delta
ight\}$$